

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,279	03/10/2004	Shmuel Eidelman	000479.00126 .	9381
22907	7590 07/29/2005		EXAM	INER
BANNER &		•	COLLINS, TIMOTHY D	
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			3643	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,279	EIDELMAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy D. Collins	3643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 /</u> 1	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· O _A			
Disposition of Claims	TE.	in the second se			
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the constant of the constan	Pent contains information under sold in Subscription under subscriptions.			
Application Papers		My My			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	er. cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment/c\	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,279 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13 and 39-41, drawn to a reaction control system, classified in class 244, subclass 162.
- II. Claims 14-38, drawn to a method of controlling a spacecraft, classified in class 244, subclass 158R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process (II) can be used with a reaction control motor that is not a PDE, for example with an ion propulsion unit.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3643

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If invention I of the above has been chosen, the following election of species must also be chosen from.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: PDE in missile intercept vehicle (similar to claim 11)

Species 2: PDE in spacecraft (similar to claim 10) -

When one of the above has been chosen, the following sub-species must also be chosen. (these represent controller types similar to claim 3 and 12)

Sub-species I: Piezo controller -

Sub-species II: Thermofluidic

Sub-species III: Microelectronic Mechanical

Sub-species IV: Electromagnetic

Sub-species V: any single combination of the above types.

When one of the above has been chosen, the following sub-sub-species must also be chosen. (these represent igniter types similar to claim 8)

Application/Control Number: 10/796,279 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

Sub-sub-species A: spark plug -

Sub-sub-species B: pyrotechnic

Sub-sub-species C: laser

If invention II above (the method has been chosen) the following election of species must also be chosen.

Propellant oxidizer

Species A: Liquid oxidizer

Species B: Gas oxidizer

After one of the above has been chosen, the following sub-species must be chosen from as well. The following deal with the carbon structure.

Sub-species I: fullerines

Sub-species II: nanotubes

Sub-species III: nanoscale diamonds

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,279

Art Unit: 3643

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 5

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,279

Art Unit: 3643

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-6886. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3, every other Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-0314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy D. Collins Patent Examiner Art Unit 3643 Page 6